

Appendix 1.

Questions and answers with clarification, corrections and adjustments, if applicable, on tender documents in tender no. 10590 **Purchase of Integrated Multibeam Echosounder System**

26.01.2026 - Question and Answer No. 1

Question 1: Are there general terms and conditions detailing IP rights, warranty, payment schedule, etc?

Answer 1: With regards warranty, we do ask for minimum 2 years manufacturers warranty in the procurement document.

With regards payment, this would be agreed on once the contract is awarded.

With regards IP rights, can you please clarify and give details.....

Question 1 Continued (27.01.2026) As I am not familiar with GjaldStovan processes, and given the language barrier, I want to ensure clarity —particularly in relation to IP rights and export control, which we consider essential. For your reference, I have attached *** standard terms and conditions. There is room for negotiation, and I am confident we can address any concerns collaboratively, should we be selected.

Answer Continued (27.01.2026) As I read this, it largely concerns standard terms regarding rights and import/export matters. However, I would have assumed that this is the kind of thing the parties discuss when the bids are submitted. Am I understanding it correctly that they want to have this in place before they submit their offers?

26.01.2026 - Question and Answer No. 2

Question 2: Screen(s) to be provided with the acquisition station?

Answer 2: No, screens and operating PC are not to be provided in the tender submission.

26.01.2026 - Question and Answer No. 3

Question 3: Geometrical measurements to be included

Answer 3: Geometrical measurements to be included. This is an over the side system that we are planning to use, size and weight should be considered for our purpose. The dimensional control should be straight forward, in that the system will be attached to a T-beam pole. 2m separation for the GNSS antennas.

28.01.2026 – Question and Answer No. 4

Question 4: Can you let me know how to issue the tender, by email to you, all in one document or technical and pricing separated?

Answer 4: We would prefer a single document.

28.01.2026 – Question and Answer No. 5

Question 5: On question 1, (terms and conditions) can you also mention if there are dedicated T&C;s involved or are the sellers T&C's , when of course acceptable, applicable?

Answer 5: We have no specific requirements, but the intention is to agree on this with the supplier who submits the winning offer. In that case, the supplier's T&C is a good starting point.

29.01.2026 – Question and Answer No. 6

Question 6: can you tell me where the installation will take place and is the travel, board and lodging costs to be included in the total price or are these costs later to be handled at cost plus for example?

Answer 6: All costs should be included in the tender including installation, travel, your accommodation etc. This will take place on the Faroe Islands. And will be in Hósvík, which is a 30min drive outside of Tórshavn. The dates for the training and HAT/SAT will be finalised with the successful company once delivery date is confirmed, but it will be as soon as possible after this, within 2-weeks. All the training and HAT/SAT can be conducted during the same period, therefore, only one trip to the Faroe Islands should be required.

29.01.2026 – Question and Answer No. 7

Question 7: Acquisition software: In the requirement specification, you specifically mention "(eg. HYPACK Hypack, QPS Qinsy)". Is that because those are the preferred packages? I.e. acquisition packages you already have expertise in using?

I am simply asking, because we would rather quote the package you prefer rather than what might be the cheapest.

If you don't have any preference, we might consider quoting ***.

Uncertainty models: What precisely to you expect for deliverable here? Compliance proven eg. via AMUST? Or something else?

Answer 7: Acquisition software. We don't have any preference to software. We have some experience with both Hypack and QPS, as well as extensive experience with SIS on our research ship. As long as the acquisition software is capable of gathering the relevant depth and backscatter data, and can also be used to conduct real time / online surveys ie for example to visualise depths and survey lines, and provide data that we can clean and georeferenced in HIPS, this should be acceptable.

Uncertainty models. This should read, Uncertainty Models (TPU) demonstrating IHO compliance at depths **up to** 250 m. We need to produce IHO standards compliant data at various depths. Sample results from similar data should be used to show this. You can use AMUST to show this. You may also have data taken over known depths to illustrate this too.

03.02.2026 – Question and Answer No. 8

Question 8: Is it possible to receive a drawing of the flange fitted on your pole?

Answer 8: In May 2025, we hired an R2Sonic 2022V, which came with an R2Sonic mount. We built our pole with a flange that would attach. I've attached a photo of the end of our pole, and there are technical drawings of the mounting bracket on the following link on page 174

[Sonic 2024 Operation Manual](#)

Of course, we can change the flange on our pole to one that suits the mounting bracket on the system of the successful tender.



